

## MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/**2019** /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

1 8 AUG 2016

# MISC. APPLICATION No. 309 OF 2016 IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 630 OF 2015. (Sub: Stopage of Increements)

- 1 The District Superintendent of Land Records (DSLR), Solapur.
- 2 The Dy. Director of Land Records Pune Region, Pune
- 3 The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records (M.S., Pune
- The State of Maharashtra, Through C.P.O., M.A.T., Mumbai

.....APPLICANT/S.

#### **VERSUS**

 Shri Maruti Baburao Khedkar, Shree Bungla, Plot No.110, Rajashri Shahu Nagar, Bijapur Road, Solapur-413 004

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE: Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the Applicant.(Ori.Respondents)

Shri Jitendra Patil holding for Ms. Samina Mirza, Advocate for

the Respondent.(Ori. Applicant)

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER-(J)

DATE : **12.08.2016.** 

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

 $E:\ Thakur\ Judical\ Order\ ORDER\ 2016\ August\ 16\ 16.8.16\ M.A.\ No.\ 309\ of\ 16\ IN\ O.A.\ No.\ 630\ of\ 15.odt$ 

## RA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s versus State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s am, Tribunal's orders

### M.A.309/2016 in O.A.630/2015

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)

Shri M.B. Khedkar

... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

Shri Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri Jitendra Patil holding for Ms. Samina Mirza, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

This MA is for extension of time to comply with the order made by this very Bench in deciding the OA finally on 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2016. The learned Advocate for the Respondents objects to granting any extension of time. Mr. Chougule, the learned P.O. informs us that the decision to promote the original Applicant Shri Maruti B. Khedkar has been taken and he is going to be promoted and only the procedural formalities are required to be completed which are not completed so far for which two months extension is being sought. We treat this statement of the learned P.O. as an undertaking and make it clear that no further extension of time shall be granted, and therefore, there should be no dragging of feet and all the steps necessary must be completed within this period of time.

With this, the MA is disposed of with a direction that compliance of our order dated 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2016 is extended to two months from today. No order as to costs. order as to costs.

> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 12.08.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal Vice-Chairman 12.08.2016

(skw)

Maharesistra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai.

[*P.T.O.* 

3 for

rhel